## EGLĖ ŽILINSKAITĖ-ŠINKŪNIENĖ, INESA ŠEŠKAUSKIENĖ

Vilnius University egle.zilinskaite@gmail.com, inesa.seskauskiene@flf.vu.lt

## On the motivation of prepositional meaning: the Lithuanian UŽ and the Latvian AIZ

The present paper reports the results of the investigation demonstrating how the principle of motivated polysemy works on interpreting numerous senses of the Lithuanian UŽ and the Latvian AIZ roughly equivalent to the English BEHIND. The principle of motivated polysemy in prepositional semantics is advocated by cognitive linguists (see Talmy 2000; Tyler and Evans 2003; Matlock 2004, among others). Most papers so far have been written relying on the English data. Inflecting languages, where case plays a role which is no less important than that of a preposition have been researched to a lesser extent. A number of papers have focused mainly on Slavic languages (see Maljar and Seliverstova 1998; Przybylska 2002; Pawelec 2009; Tabakowska 2003, 2010). Baltic languages have received much less attention (see Berg-Olsen 2005; Šeškauskienė and Žilinskaitė-Šinkūnienė *to appear*).

In our previous research (ibid.) we attempted to account for thirteen meanings of the Lithuanian UŽ linked in a single network. Interestingly, the network of its Latvian counterpart AIZ is narrower. It includes the meanings of spatial location, function, control, obstacle, sequential location, hiding and covering, boundary and border, spatial distance and reason. In the present investigation these meanings will be discussed in more detail demonstrating links between physical (more concrete) and non-physical (more abstract) senses. The findings in Latvian and Lithuanian will be compared exploring the question of (non) equivalence and its possible reasons.

## References

- Berg-Olsen, S. 2005. *The Latvian dative and genetive: A Cognitive Grammar account*. Doctoral dissertation. Oslo: University of Oslo
- Maljar, T. N. & Seliverstova, O. N. 1998. *Prostranstvenno-distancionnyje predlogi i narechija v russkom i anglijskom jazykach*. (Slavistiche Beiträge, 362). München: Verlag Otto Sagner.
- Matlock, T. 2004. 'The Conceptual Motivation of Fictive Motion'.

  In G. Radden & K.-U. Panther (eds.) *Studies in Linguistic Motivation*, 221–248. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Pawelec, A. 2009. *Prepositional network models. A hermeneuti*cal case study. Kraków: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Jagiellońskiego.
- Przybylska, R. 2002. Polisemia przyimków polskich w świetle semantyki kognitywnej. Kraków: Universitas.
- Šeškauskienė, I. & Žilinskaitė-Šinkūnienė, E. *To appear*. 'On the polysemy of the Lithuanian UŽ: a cognitive perspective'.

- Shakhova, D. & Tyler, A. 2010. 'Taking the principled polysemy model of spatial particles beyond English: the case of Russian za'. In V. Evans & P. Chilton (eds.) *Language, Cognition and Space: The State of the Art and New Directions*, 267–291. London, Oakville: Equinox.
- Tabakowska, E. 2003. 'Space and time in Polish: the preposition za and the verbal prefix za-'. In H. Cuyckens, Th. Berg, R. Dirven & K.-U. Panther (eds.) *Motivation in Language. Studies in Honor of Günter Radden*, 153–177. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Tabakowska, E. 2010. 'The story of ZA: in defense of the radial category'. *Studies in Polish Linguistics* 5: 65–77.
- Talmy, L. 2000. Toward a Cognitive Semantics. Vol. 1. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Tyler, A. & Evans, V. 2003. *The Semantics of English Prepositions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.